

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

 C^{\prime} ω

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/988,675	11/20/2001	Kiyoyuki Nakagawa	018976-210	9042
7	590 02/25/2003			
Platon N. Mandros BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P. P.O. Box 1404			EXAMINER	
			WILSON, LEE D	
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3723	
			DATE MAILED: 02/25/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			m l		
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	110 }		
Office Action Summary	09/988,675	NAKAGAWA ET A	۸L.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
The MAU INC DATE of this communication and	LEE D WILSON	3723	des -		
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover s	neet with the correspondence ad	aress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 ₽	locombor 2002				
· _ · · _	s action is non-fina	si.			
· <u> </u>			o morito io		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 7-18 is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>18</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>7,9,10,12,15 and 16</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) <u>8,11,13,14 and 17</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		•	er.		
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)	•				
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🔲 N	nterview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(otice of Informal Patent Application (PTo ther:			

La Maria Mar

Application/Control Number: 09/988,675

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

2. Claims 7, 9, 12, and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ishikawa et al (6257966).

Ishikawa et al teach a comprising the steps of a grinding surface (20), supplying a plurality of chips and cleaning (stations 22& 72 also using a cleaning liquid) outer surfaces of the chip.

3. Claims 7, 9-10, 12, and 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by White (6241583).

Page 3

Art Unit: 3723

White teach a comprising the steps of a grinding surface (110 belt) with a drive mechanism (320), supplying a plurality of chips and cleaning (stations 52 also using a cleaning liquid) outer surfaces of the chip.

In regard to claim 9,

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claim 18 is allowed.
- 5. Claims 8, 11, 13-14, and 17 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 12/1/02 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 7. Applicant argues the claim 7 is allowable.
- a. In response to applicant's argument that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., the step a) chips mounted on a printed circuit board) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).
- b. The applicant claim does not define over the prior art because chips being align with the outside being cleaned is old and well known.

Application/Control Number: 09/988,675

Art Unit: 3723

Conclusion

8. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR

1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however,

will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this

final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Lee Wilson whose telephone number is (703) 305-4094.

ldw

February 24, 2003

LEED WILSON

Page 4